REMARKS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present

application. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, and 14-21 are pending. Claims 3 and 13 are canceled without

prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter set forth therein. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, and

14-20 are amended, and claim 21 is added. Claims 1 and 11 are independent. The Examiner

is respectfully requested to reconsider the rejections in view of the amendments and remarks

set forth herein.

Claim for Priority

The Examiner has acknowledged the Applicants' claim for foreign priority.

Acknowledgement of Information Disclosure Statement

It is gratefully acknowledged that the Examiner has acknowledged the Information

Disclosure Statement filed on December 22, 2003.

Amendments to the Specification

The specification is amended to correct minor informalities. No new matter is entered.

Amendments to the Drawings

FIG. 5 is revised to correct minor informalities.

Page 12 of 15

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and §103(a)

Claims 1-5, 8, 11-15, and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shaffer (U.S. 4,381,738);

claims 1-5, 8, 11-15, and 18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Ma (U.S. 5,915,354); and

claims 6, 7, 9, 10, 16, 17, 19, and 20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Amendments to Independent Claim 1

While not conceding the appropriateness of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to advance prosecution of the instant application, independent claim 1 is amended herein to recite a combination of elements directed to an intake system for an internal combustion engine, including

a groove formed in an inner wall surface of said passage for receiving said partition wall, said groove being disposed at a predetermined disposition relative to said passage separating partition wall,

there being a space between an edge of the partition wall and an edge of the passage separating partition wall.

Support for a groove 1b formed in an inner wall surface of said passage for receiving said partition wall P, said groove 1b being disposed at a predetermined disposition relative to said passage separating partition wall 1f, there being a space between an edge of the partition

wall P and an edge of the passage separating partition wall 1f, can be seen, for example in

FIG. 1.

As a result of this novel configuration, the partition wall can be attached easily.

By contrast, as can be seen in Shaffer FIG. 3, 6, and 7, this document merely

discloses partition walls 46 coming in contact with the edge of head portion 28. Thus there

is no space between the partition wall 46 and head portion 28. Moreover, Shaffer FIG. 8

and column 4, lines 61-65 merely disclose partition wall 50 extending completely to head

portion 28.

With respect to the Ma (See FIGS. 1-4), this document is silent about a groove

formed in an inner wall surface of said passage for receiving said partition wall, said groove

being disposed at a predetermined disposition relative to said passage separating partition

wall, there being a space between an edge of the partition wall and an edge of the passage

separating partition wall, as presently claimed.

Thus, at least for the reasons described above, Applicants respectfully submit that the

combination of elements as set forth in independent claim 1 is not disclosed or made obvious

by the prior art of record, including Shaffer and Ma.

Therefore, independent claim 1 is in condition for allowance.

Amendments to Independent Claim 11

In addition, independent claim 11 is amended herein to recite a combination of

elements directed to an intake system for an internal combustion engine, including grooves

formed in an inner wall surface of said intake passage for receiving said flat partition wall,

said grooves being disposed at a predetermined disposition relative to and being separated

from said intake passage separating partition wall.

By contrast, as can be seen in Shaffer FIG. 3, 6, 7, and 10, this document merely

discloses partition walls 40, 41, 53, 54, 55, 56, which are curved, and slots 42-47 which

extend to the head portion 28.

With respect to the Ma document, FIGS. 2 and 3 and the Abstract merely disclose a

twisted partition wall.

Thus, at least for the reasons explained above, Applicants respectfully submit that the

combination of elements as set forth in independent claim 11 is not disclosed or made

obvious by the prior art of record, including Shaffer and Ma.

Therefore, independent claim 11 is in condition for allowance.

The Examiner will note that dependent claims 2, 12, and 14-20 are amended to place

them in better form, and dependent claim 21 is added to set forth additional novel features of

the invention.

All dependent claims are in condition for allowance due to their dependency from

allowable independent claims, or due to the additional novel features set forth therein. The

rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is now moot.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102(b)

and 103(a) are respectfully requested.

Art Unit: 3747
Page 15 of 15

CONCLUSION

Since the remaining patents cited by the Examiner have not been utilized to reject

claims, but merely to show the state of the art, no comment need be made with respect thereto.

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

outstanding Office Action, and that the present application is in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786) at

(703) 205-8000.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17, particularly extension of time

fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

James M. Slattery, #28,380

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

JMS:CTT/ags

Attachment: One Sheet Revised Formal Drawing (FIG. 5)

Application No. 10/609,416
Amendment dated November 30, 2004
Reply to Office Action of August 30, 2004

Docket No. 0505-1208P Art Unit: 3747 Page 10 of 15

Amendments to the Drawings

One sheet of Revised Formal Drawing (FIG. 5) is attached.